

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,198	03/10/2004	John Burwell	2549-114-27	2440	
75	7590 03/03/2006			EXAMINER	
PIPER RUDNICK LLP Supervisor, Patent Prosecution Services 1200 Nineteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-2412			MAYO, TARA L		
			I DE LOUIS I		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3671		
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	- 10/796,198	BURWELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tara L. Mayo	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re in. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	<u>08 December 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	•	· •				
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-11,14-24,26-28,30,31 and</u>	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-11,14-24,26-28,30,31 and 34-38</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-11,14-24,26-28,30,31 and</u>	<u>d 34-38</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement					
are subject to restriction a	navor election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 June 2005</u> is/ard		·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to		` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·	•				
	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form F10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		onlication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	·	·				
application from the International Bu	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not r	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI</li> </ul>		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

Application/Control Number: 10/796,198

Art Unit: 3671

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 3through 5, 7 through 11, 14 through 24, 26 through 28, 30, 31 and 34 through 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manger et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,729,797 B2) in view of Berg et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,544,974A) and McGill et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,886,388 B1).

Manger et al. '797, as seen in Figures 1, 2C, 3 and 4, show an underground storage system comprising:

with regard to claim 1,

Art Unit: 3671

a double walled riser sump (10) having spaced apart, vertical inner and outer walls (16C, 20C) defining an annular space (22C) through which fluid may flow (col. 5, lines 14 through 18); and

a monitoring liquid reservoir (32; col. 8, line 64 through col. 9, line 4) in liquid communication with the annular space;

wherein the reservoir is positioned near the top, whereby the reservoir is accessible from a space adjacent said top;

with regard to claim 3,

wherein the reservoir is connected to the annular space by two tubes (as seen in Figure 4, connected to ports 24 and 141), and the reservoir has a vent hole formed near an end of the reservoir;

with regard to claims 4 and 23,

further comprising a thin film (30) disposed within the annular space, such that liquid can flow through out the annular space (col. 5, lines 5 through 30);

with regard to claims 5 and 24,

further comprising a distance fabric (30) disposed within the annular space, the distance fabric allowing liquids to flow within the annular space (col. 5, lines 5 through 30); with regard to claim 17,

wherein the sump has a round cross sectional shape;

with regard to claim 21,

wherein the top is double-walled; and with regard to claim 30,

Application/Control Number: 10/796,198

Art Unit: 3671

wherein the reservoir is connected to the annular space by two tubes, and the reservoir has a vent hole (40) formed near a top of the reservoir.

Manger et al. '797 disclose all of the features of the claimed invention with the exception(s) of:

an underground storage tank;

the vertical wall of the sump being formed from a riser and a collar in fluid communication with each other;

an alignment sleeve;

the monitoring liquid reservoir being filled with brine;

a liquid sensor disposed within the annular space;

the underground tank being a double-walled storage tank;

the sump being formed from fiber reinforced plastic;

the tank being formed from fiber reinforced plastic;

the tank having a cross-sectional shape in the form of a polygon; and

the top of the sump being double-walled with an annular space in fluid communication with the annular space in the vertical wall.

Berg et al. '974, as seen in Figure 3, shows a double walled (102, 104) underground storage tank comprising a sump.

McGill et al. '388, as seen in Figure 8, show an underground storage tank comprising a sump (22) having a vertical wall and terminating in a top, wherein the vertical wall is formed

from a riser and a collar, the collar being attached to the top of the sump and the riser being attached to the collar, the riser being formed from an inner riser wall (14) and an outer riser wall (20) that together define a riser annular space (72), the collar (124) being formed from an inner collar wall (the lower member of element 124) and an outer collar wall (the upper member of element 124) that together define a collar annular space, the riser annular space and the collar annular space being in fluid communication together (via 110P), and further comprising an alignment sleeve (112), the alignment sleeve having a first portion in a closely spaced adjacent relationship to the riser and a second portion in a closely spaced adjacent relationship to the collar, wherein the alignment sleeve is adjacent to the inner collar wall and the inner riser wall and adjacent to the outer collar wall and the outer riser wall, and wherein the top is a double walled top defining a top annular space (76), and the top is attached to the vertical wall.

With regard to claims 1, 14 and 22, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of fluid storage at the time the invention was made to modify the device shown by Manger et al. '797 such that it would include a double walled underground storage tank as taught by Berg et al. '974. The motivation would have been for the safe underground storage of fuel.

With regard to claims 1 and 22, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device shown by Manger et al. '797 such that the vertical wall would be formed from a riser and a collar, both double walled and having annular spaces between the walls McGill et al. '388. The motivation would have been to provide the sump with means for detecting and testing leaks.

Art Unit: 3671

With regard to claims 7 through 9, 26 and 28, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device shown by Manger et al. '797 and Berg et al. '974 such that it would include an alignment sleeve as taught by McGill et al. '388. The motivation would have been to facilitate positioning of the sump.

Page 6

With regard to claim 10, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of testing at the time the invention was made to modify the device shown by the combination of Manger et al. '797, Berg et al. '974 and McGill et al. '388 such that the reservoir would be filled with brine since the Examiner takes Official Notice for its use as a testing fluid.

With regard to claims 11 and 31, the Examiner takes Official Notice of the use of sensors for leak detection in annular spaces.

With regard to claims 15 and 16, the Examiner takes Official Notice of the known use of fiber reinforced plastic for forming sumps and underground storage tanks due to its non-corrosive properties.

With regard to claims 18 through 20 and 35 through 37, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the sump shown by the combination of Manger et al. '797, Berg et al. '974 and McGill et al. '388 with a polygonal cross section having a desired number of sides since it has been held that a mere change in configuration of a claimed device is a matter of choice absent persuasive evidence that the particular configuration of the claimed device is significant. *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Application/Control Number: 10/796,198 Page 7

Art Unit: 3671

With regard to claims 22 through 24 and 26 through 38, the method steps recited therein are inherent to the assembly of the device shown by the combination of Manger et al. '797, Berg et al. '974 and McGill et al. '388.

With specific regard to claim 38, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the top of the sump shown by the combination of Manger et al. '797, Berg et al. '974 and McGill et al. '388 double walled with an annular space in communication with the annular space in the vertical wall as further taught by McGill et al. '388. The motivation would have been for ease of detection of leaks in the sump.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 08 December 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to Applicants statement that Manger et al. '797 fail to teach a monitoring liquid reservoir as recited in the claims, the Examiner contends that the term "fluid" encompasses both liquids and gases. Therefore, it would be within the scope of the patented device to use a monitoring liquid. Furthermore, the Examiner notes that Applicant only positively recites a liquid in claim 10. As such, the reservoir (32) shown by Manger et al. '797 anticipates the recited "monitoring liquid reservoir" in the claims as set forth in the above Office action.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tara L. Mayo whose telephone number is 571-272-6992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,198 Page 9

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tlm

22 February 2006

Thomas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600